

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLA	AINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS C	OMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0170361 DATE: <u>12212009</u> ARRIVE:	0948 DEPART: <u>1036</u>	
FACILITY NAME: BROWN FUNERAL HOME		
FACILITY LOCATION: 5430 W. Gulf to Lake Road		
LECANTO 34461		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICHARD BROW	PHONE: (352)795-0111	
CONTACT NAME: Richard Brown	PHONE: (352)295-0111	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2007 / 5/24/2012 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
DADE W WESTERNA DE CODEVERDINA DE CAMPENTA DE LA	(2.20 (401 E) G	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rul (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	e 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to the conducted during the conducted during	Yes ☐ No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ☐ Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No nual visible emissions test conducted 60 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No nual visible emissions test conducted 60 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No nual visible emissions test conducted for maining applicable standards testing bmission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No irements of 100 parts per million by	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No nual visible emissions test conducted 60 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No maining applicable standards testing bmission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No irements of 100 parts per million by s and tested according to EPA Method Yes No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter ————————————————————————————————————	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No nual visible emissions test conducted 60 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No maining applicable standards testing bmission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No irements of 100 parts per million by s and tested according to EPA Method Yes No where requirements of 0.080 grains per ested according to EPA Method 5 Yes No Tyes No	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No rding to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No mual visible emissions test conducted 60 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No maining applicable standards testing bmission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No irements of 100 parts per million by s and tested according to EPA Method Yes No where requirements of 0.080 grains per ested according to EPA Method 5 Yes No where the requirements of 0.080 grains per ested according to EPA Method 5 Yes No where requirements of 0.080 grains per ested according to EPA Method 5 Yes No the manufacturers recommended Yes No the manufacturers recommended Yes No the last formal compliance test?	

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	d temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	Yes No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	- <u> </u> Yes <u> </u> No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	- Yes No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	- Yes No
6) Adjustments	- Yes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	- Yes No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	- Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	- LYes L No
. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence t	ime
@ 1800° F?	- Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremate	
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	□Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes □ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the contract of the co	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, dientification form? 	hout replacement?	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?		
	12212009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: This inspection is a crematory initiative inspection and measurements were taken of the the crematory unit to determine the location of the thermocouple. I am required to input a status (MNC or In Compliance) I have put this facility incompliance until the calculations prove or disprove that the thermocouple(s) are properly placed. The location of the thermocouples will be addressed after the measurements are reviewed by Department Staff. At that time it will be determined if the status should be changed to MNC.